OBJECTIVE MEASURE FOR ESTIMATING MEAN OPINION SCORE OF SYNTHESIZED SPEECH

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention relates to speech synthesis. In particular, the present invention relates to an objective measure for estimating naturalness of synthesized speech.

Text-to-speech technology allows

10 computerized systems to communicate with users through synthesized speech. The quality of these systems is typically measured by how natural or human-like the synthesized speech sounds.

Very natural sounding speech can be produced by simply replaying a recording of an entire sentence or paragraph of speech. However, the complexity of human languages and the limitations of computer storage may make it impossible to store every conceivable sentence that may occur in a text.

Instead, systems have been developed to use a concatenative approach to speech synthesis. This concatenative approach combines stored speech samples representing small speech units such as phonemes, diphones, triphones, syllables or the like to form a

25 larger speech signal unit.

Evaluating the quality of synthesized speech contains two aspects, intelligibility and naturalness. Generally, intelligibility is not a large concern for most text-to-speech systems.

However, the naturalness of synthesized speech is a larger issue and is still far from most expectations.

10

15

During text-to-speech system development, it is necessary to have regular evaluations on a naturalness of the system. The Mean Opinion Score (MOS) is one of the most popular and widely accepted subjective measures for naturalness. However, running formal MOS evaluation is expensive and time consuming. Generally, to obtain a MOS score for a consideration, collection of system under а synthesized waveforms must be obtained from the system. The synthesized waveforms, together with some waveforms generated from other text-to-speech systems and/or waveforms uttered by a professional announcer are randomly played to a set of subjects. Each of the subjects are asked to score the naturalness of each waveform from 1-5 (1=bad, 2=poor, 3=fair, 4=good, 5=excellent). The means of the scores from the set of subjects for a given waveform represents naturalness in a MOS evaluation.

In view of the difficulties in obtaining 20 MOS scores, it would thus be desirable to be able to objectively measure the naturalness of synthesized estimating naturalness Βv through objective measure, system development would be greatly enhanced since algorithmic changes in the 25 system could more quickly ascertained. be addition, databases storing the speech units could also be pruned efficiently to scale the system to the computer's resources, while maintaining desired naturalness.

10

15

20

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A method for estimating mean opinion score or naturalness of synthesized speech is provided. The method includes using an objective measure that has components derived directly from textual information used to form synthesized utterances. The objective measure has a high correlation with mean opinion score such that a relationship can be formed between the objective measure and corresponding mean opinion score. An estimated mean opinion score can be obtained easily from the relationship when the objective measure is applied to utterances of a modified speech synthesizer.

The objective measure can be based on one or more factors of the speech units used to create the utterances. The factors can include the position of the speech unit in a phrase or word, the neighboring phonetic or tonal context, the prosodic mismatch of successive speech units or the stress level of the speech unit. Weighting factors can be used since correlation of the factors with mean opinion score has been found to vary between the factors.

By using the objective measure it is easy 25 track performance in naturalness of the speech synthesizer, thereby allowing efficient development synthesizer. In of the speech particular, the objective measure can serve as criteria for optimizing the algorithms for speech unit selection 30 and speech database pruning.

25

30

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of a general computing environment in which the present invention may be practiced.

5 FIG. 2 is a block diagram of a speech synthesis system.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram of a selection system for selecting speech segments.

FIG. 4 is a flow diagram of a selection 10 system for selecting speech segments.

FIG. 5 is a flow diagram for estimating mean opinion score from an objective measure.

FIG. 6 is a plot of a relationship between mean opinion score and the objective measure.

15 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF ILLUSTRATIVE EMBODIMENT

FIG. 1 illustrates an example of a suitable computing system environment 100 on which The computing system invention may be implemented. environment 100 is only one example of a suitable computing environment and is not intended to suggest the scope of limitation as to functionality of the invention. Neither should the computing environment 100 be interpreted as having any dependency or requirement relating to any one or illustrated the combination of components exemplary operating environment 100.

The invention is operational with numerous other general purpose or special purpose computing system environments or configurations. Examples of well known computing systems, environments, and/or configurations that may be suitable for use with the

invention include, but are not limited to, personal computers, server computers, hand-held or laptop devices, multiprocessor systems, microprocessor-based systems, set top boxes, programmable consumer electronics, network PCs, minicomputers, mainframe computers, distributed computing environments that include any of the above systems or devices, and the like.

The invention may be described in the 10 general context of computer-executable instructions, such program modules, being executed by as computer. Generally, program modules include routines, programs, objects, components, data structures, etc. that perform particular tasks or 15 implement particular abstract data types. invention may also be practiced in distributed computing environments where tasks are performed by remote processing devices that are linked through a communications network. In a distributed computing 20 environment, program modules may be located in both local and remote computer storage media including memory storage devices. Tasks performed programs and modules are described below and with the aid of figures. Those skilled in the art can 25 implement the description and figures as processor executable instructions, which can be written on any form of a computer readable media.

With reference to FIG. 1, an exemplary system for implementing the invention includes a general-purpose computing device in the form of a computer 110. Components of computer 110 may

10

15

20

25

30

include, but are not limited to, a processing unit 120, a system memory 130, and a system bus 121 that couples various system components including system memory to the processing unit 120. The system bus 121 may be any of several types of bus structures including a memory bus or memory controller, peripheral bus, and a local bus using any of a variety of bus architectures. By way of example, and not limitation, such architectures include Industry Standard Architecture (ISA) bus, Micro Channel Architecture (MCA) bus, Enhanced ISA (EISA) bus, Video Electronics Standards Association (VESA) local bus, and Peripheral Component Interconnect (PCI) bus also known as Mezzanine bus.

Computer 110 typically includes a variety of computer readable media. Computer readable media can be any available media that can be accessed by 110 and includes both volatile nonvolatile media, removable and non-removable media. By way of example, and not limitation, computer readable media may comprise computer storage media and communication media. Computer storage media includes both volatile and nonvolatile, removable and non-removable media implemented in any method or technology for storage of information computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules or other data. Computer storage media includes, but is not limited to, RAM, ROM, EEPROM, flash memory or other memory technology, CD-ROM, digital versatile disks (DVD) or other optical disk storage, magnetic cassettes, magnetic tape,

magnetic disk storage or other magnetic storage devices, or any other medium which can be used to store the desired information and which can be accessed by computer 100.

5 Communication media typically embodies computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules or other data in a modulated data signal such as a carrier wave or other transport mechanism and includes any information delivery media. The term "modulated data signal" means a 10 signal that has one or more of its characteristics changed in such a manner as to encode information in the signal. By way of example, and not limitation, communication media includes wired 15 wired network or direct-wired media such as а connection, and wireless media such as acoustic, FR, infrared and other wireless media. Combinations of any of the above should also be included within the scope of computer readable media.

The system memory 130 includes computer 20 in the form of volatile storage media nonvolatile memory such as read only memory (ROM) 131 memory (RAM) 132. random access Α input/output system 133 (BIOS), containing the basic 25 routines that help to transfer information between elements within computer 110, such as during startup, is typically stored in ROM 131. RAM 132 typically contains data and/or program modules that immediately accessible to and/or presently being operated on by processing unit 120. 30 By way of example, and not limitation, FIG. 1 illustrates

15

20

operating system 134, application programs 135, other program modules 136, and program data 137.

The computer 110 may also include other removable/non-removable volatile/nonvolatile computer By way of example only, FIG. 1 storage media. illustrates a hard disk drive 141 that reads from or writes to non-removable, nonvolatile magnetic media, a magnetic disk drive 151 that reads from or writes to a removable, nonvolatile magnetic disk 152, and an optical disk drive 155 that reads from or writes to a removable, nonvolatile optical disk 156 such as a CD ROM or other optical media. Other removable/noncomputer removable, volatile/nonvolatile storage media that can be used in the exemplary operating environment include, but are not limited to, magnetic tape cassettes, flash memory cards, digital versatile disks, digital video tape, solid state RAM, solid state ROM, and the like. The hard disk drive 141 is typically connected to the system bus 121 through a non-removable memory interface such as interface 140, and magnetic disk drive 151 and optical disk drive 155 are typically connected to the system bus 121 by a removable memory interface, such as interface 150.

The drives and their associated computer storage media discussed above and illustrated in FIG. 1, provide storage of computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules and other data for the computer 110. In FIG. 1, for example, hard disk drive 141 is illustrated as storing operating system 144, application programs 145, other program modules 146, and program data 147. Note that these components

15

20

25

30

can either be the same as or different from operating system 134, application programs 135, other program modules 136, and program data 137. Operating system 144, application programs 145, other program modules 146, and program data 147 are given different numbers here to illustrate that, at a minimum, they are different copies.

A user may enter commands and information into the computer 110 through input devices such as a keyboard 162, a microphone 163, and a pointing device 161, such as a mouse, trackball or touch pad. input devices (not shown) may include a joystick, game pad, satellite dish, scanner, or the These and other input devices are often connected to 120 through user processing unit а the interface 160 that is coupled to the system bus, but connected by other interface be structures, such as a parallel port, game port or a universal serial bus (USB). A monitor 191 or other type of display device is also connected to the system bus 121 via an interface, such as a video interface 190. In addition to the monitor, computers may also include other peripheral output devices such speakers 197 and printer 196, which may be connected through an output peripheral interface 190.

The computer 110 may operate in a networked environment using logical connections to one or more remote computers, such as a remote computer 180. The remote computer 180 may be a personal computer, a hand-held device, a server, a router, a network PC, a peer device or other common network node, and

typically includes many or all of the elements described above relative to the computer 110. The logical connections depicted in FIG. 1 include a local area network (LAN) 171 and a wide area network (WAN) 173, but may also include other networks. Such networking environments are commonplace in offices, enterprise-wide computer networks, intranets and the Internet.

When used in a LAN networking environment, the computer 110 is connected to the LAN 171 through 10 When used in a a network interface or adapter 170. networking environment, the computer 110 WAN typically includes a modem 172 or other means for establishing communications over the WAN 173, such as the Internet. The modem 172, which may be internal 15 or external, may be connected to the system bus 121 interface 160, or input via the user appropriate mechanism. In a networked environment, program modules depicted relative to the computer 110, or portions thereof, may be stored in the remote 20 memory storage device. By way of example, and not limitation, FIG. 1 illustrates remote application programs 185 as residing on remote computer 180. will be appreciated that the network connections shown are exemplary and other means of establishing a 25 communications link between the computers may be used.

To further help understand the usefulness of the present invention, it may helpful to provide a brief description of a speech synthesizer 200 illustrated in FIG. 2. However, it should be noted

30

that the synthesizer 200 is provided for exemplary purposes and is not intended to limit the present invention.

a block diagram of 2 is FIG. synthesizer 200, which is capable of constructing 5 synthesized speech 202 from input text 204. conventional concatenative TTS systems, a pitch and duration modification algorithm, such as PSOLA, is applied to pre-stored units to guarantee that the prosodic features of synthetic speech meet the 10 These systems the predicted target values. advantages of flexibility in controlling the prosody. Yet, they often suffer from significant quality decrease in naturalness. In the TTS system 200, generated by directly concatenating is 15 speech syllable segments (speech units) without any pitch or duration modification under the assumption that the speech database contains enough prosodic and spectral varieties for all synthetic units and the best fitting segments can always be found. 20

However, before speech synthesizer 200 can be utilized to construct speech 202, it must be initialized with samples of speech units taken from a training text 206 that are read into speech synthesizer 200 as training speech 208.

Initially, training text 206 is parsed by a parser/semantic identifier 210 into strings of individual speech units. Under some embodiments of the invention, especially those used to form Chinese speech, the speech units are tonal syllables. However, other speech units such as phonemes,

15

20

diphones, or triphones may be used within the scope of the present invention.

Parser/semantic identifier 210 also identifies high-level prosodic information about each sentence provided to the parser 210. This high-level prosodic information includes the predicted tonal levels for each speech unit as well as the grouping of speech units into prosodic words and phrases. In embodiments where tonal syllable speech units are used, parser/semantic identifier 210 also identifies the first and last phoneme in each speech unit.

The strings of speech units produced from the training text 206 are provided to a context vector generator 212, which generates a Speech unit-Dependent Descriptive Contextual Variation Vector (SDDCVV, hereinafter referred to as a "context vector"). The context vector describes several context variables that can affect the naturalness of the speech unit. Under one embodiment, the context vector describes six variables or coordinates of textual information. They are:

Position in phrase: the position of the
 current speech unit in its carrying
 prosodic phrase.

Position in word: the position of the current speech unit in its carrying prosodic word.

Left phonetic context: category of the last phoneme in the speech unit to the left (preceding) of the current speech unit.

30

25

10

15

20

25

30

Right phonetic context: category of the first phoneme in the speech unit to the right (following) of the current speech unit.

Left tone context: the tone category of the speech unit to the left (preceding) of the current speech unit.

Right tone context: the tone category of the speech unit to the right (following) of the current speech unit.

If desired, the coordinates of the context vector can also include the stress level of the current speech unit or the coupling degree of its pitch, duration and/or energy with its neighboring units.

Under one embodiment, the position in phrase coordinate and the position in word coordinate can each have one of four values, the left phonetic context can have one of eleven values, the right phonetic context can have one of twenty-six values and the left and right tonal contexts can each have one of two values.

The context vectors produced by context vector generator 212 are provided to a component storing unit 214 along with speech samples produced by a sampler 216 from training speech signal 208. Each sample provided by sampler 216 corresponds to a speech unit identified by parser 210. Component storing unit 214 indexes each speech sample by its context vector to form an indexed set of stored speech components 218.

15

20

25

30

The samples are indexed, for example, by a prosody-dependent decision tree (PDDT), which is formed automatically using a classification and CART provides a mechanism regression tree (CART). for selecting questions that can be used to divide the stored speech components into small groups of similar speech samples. Typically, each question is used to divide a group of speech components into two smaller groups. With each question, the components groups become more homogenous. the smaller directly is not speech units Grouping of the pertinent to the present invention and a detailed discussion for forming the decision tree is provided in co-pending application "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR SPEECH SYNTHESIS WITHOUT PROSODY MODIFICATION", filed May 7, 2001 and assigned serial no. 09/850,527.

Generally, when the decision tree is in its final form, each leaf node will contain a number of speech unit. samples have for а These samples slightly different prosody from each other. example, they may have different phonetic contexts or from each other. By tonal contexts different maintaining these minor differences within a leaf node, the speech synthesizer 200 introduces slight diversity in prosody, which is helpful in removing monotonous prosody. A set of stored speech samples 218 is indexed by decision tree 220. Once created, decision tree 220 and speech samples 218 can be used to generate concatenative speech without requiring prosody modification.

15

20

25

for forming concatenative The process speech begins by parsing input text 204 using parser/semantic identifier 210 and identifying highlevel prosodic information for each speech unit produced by the parse. This prosodic information is then provided to context vector generator 212, which generates a context vector for each speech unit The parsing and the identified in the parse. production of the context vectors are performed in the same manner as was done during the training of prosody decision tree 220.

The context vectors are provided to a component locator 222, which uses the vectors to identify a set of samples for the sentence. Under one embodiment, component locator 222 uses a multitier non-uniform unit selection algorithm to identify the samples from the context vectors.

FIGS. 3 and 4 provide a block diagram and a flow diagram for a multi-tier non-uniform selection In step 400, each vector in the set of algorithm. input context vectors is applied to prosody-dependent decision tree 220 to identify a leaf node array 300 that contains a leaf node for each context vector. At step 402, a set of distances is determined by a calculator 302 for each input context distance In particular, a separate distance vector. calculated between the input context vector and each context vector found in its respective leaf node. Under one embodiment, each distance is calculated as:

$$D_c = \sum_{i=1}^{I} W_{ci} D_i$$
 EQ. 1

10

15

25

where D_c is the context distance, D_i is the distance for coordinate i of the context vector, W_{ci} is a weight associated with coordinate i, and I is the number of coordinates in each context vector.

At step 404, the N samples with the closest context vectors are retained while the remaining samples are pruned from node array 300 to form pruned leaf node array 304. The number of samples, N, to leave in the pruned nodes is determined by balancing improvements in prosody with improved processing time. In general, more samples left in the pruned nodes means better prosody at the cost of longer processing time.

At step 406, the pruned array is provided to a Viterbi decoder 306, which identifies a lowest cost path through the pruned array. Although the sample with the closest context vector in each node could be selected, using a multi-tier approach, the cost function is:

$$C_{c} = W_{c} \sum_{j=1}^{J} D_{cj} + W_{s} \sum_{j=1}^{J} C_{sj}$$
 EQ. 2

where C_c is the concatenation cost for the entire sentence or utterance, W_c is a weight associated with the distance measure of the concatenated cost, D_{cj} is the distance calculated in equation 1 for the jth speech unit in the sentence, W_s is a weight associated with a smoothness measure of the concatenated cost, C_{sj} is a smoothness cost for the jth speech unit, and J is the number of speech units in the sentence.

The smoothness cost in Equation 2 is 30 defined to provide a measure of the prosodic mismatch

15

20

25

between sample j and the samples proposed as the neighbors to sample j by the Viterbi decoder. Under one embodiment, the smoothness cost is determined based on whether a sample and its neighbors were found as neighbors in an utterance in the training corpus. If a sample occurred next to its neighbors in the training corpus, the smoothness cost is zero since the samples contain the proper prosody to be combined together. If a sample did not occur next to its neighbors in the training corpus, the smoothness cost is set to one.

Using the multi-tier non-uniform approach, if a large block of speech units, such as a word or a phrase, in the input text exists in the training corpus, preference will be given to selecting all of the samples associated with that block of speech units. Note, however, that if the block of speech units occurred within a different prosodic context, the distance between the context vectors will likely cause different samples to be selected than those associated with the block.

Once the lowest cost path has been identified by Viterbi decoder 306, the identified samples 308 are provided to speech constructor 203. With the exception of small amounts of smoothing at the boundaries between the speech units, speech constructor 203 simply concatenates the speech units to form synthesized speech 202.

It has been discovered by the inventors that the evaluation of concatenative cost can form the basis of an objective measure for MOS estimation.

10

15

20

25

using the objective measure Α method for estimating MOS is illustrated in FIG. 5. Generally, the method includes generating a set of synthesized utterances at step 500, and subjectively rating each of the utterances at step 502. A score is then calculated for each of the synthesized utterances using the objective measure at step 504. The scores from the objective measure and the ratings from the subjective analysis are then analyzed to determine a relationship at step 506. The relationship is used at step 508 to estimate naturalness or MOS when the objective measure is applied to the textual information of speech units for another utterance or second set of utterances from a modified speech synthesizer (e.g. when a parameter of the speech synthesizer has been changed). It should be noted that the words of the "another utterance" or the "second set of utterances" obtained from the modified speech synthesizer can be the same or different words used in the first set of utterances.

In one embodiment, in order to make the concatenative cost comparable among utterances with variable number of syllables, the average concatenative cost of an utterance is used and can be expressed as:

$$C_{a} = \sum_{i=1}^{J+1} W_i C_{ai}$$

$$C_{a1} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{l=1}^{J} D_i(l), & i = 1, \dots, I \\ \frac{1}{J-1} \sum_{l=1}^{J-1} C_s(l), & i = I+1 \end{cases}$$

$$W_{i} = \begin{cases} W_{ci}W_{c} & i = 1, \dots, I \\ W_{s} & i = I + 1 \end{cases}$$

where, Ca is the average concatenative cost and Cai factors (i=1,...,7) one more of the that 5 or contribute to Ca, which are, in the illustrative embodiment, the average costs for position in phrase, position in word, left phonetic context, right phonetic context, left tone context, right tone context and smoothness. W_i are weights for the seven 10 component-costs and all are set to 1, but can be changed. For instance, it has been found that the coordinate having the highest correlation with mean opinion score was smoothness, whereas the lowest correlation with mean opinion score was position in 15 phase. It is therefore reasonable to assign larger weights for components with high correlation and smaller weights for components with low correlation. In one experiment, the following weights were used:

20

Position in Phrase, $W_1 = 0.10$ Position in Word, $W_2 = 0.60$ Left Phonetic Context, $W_3 = 0.10$ Right Phonetic Context, $W_4 = 0.76$ 25 Left Tone Context, $W_5 = 1.76$ Right Tone Context, $W_6 = 0.72$ Smoothness, $W_7 = 2.96$

In one exemplary embodiment, 100 sentences 30 are carefully selected from a 200 MB text corpus so

15

20

25

30

the C_a and C_{ai} (i=1,...,7) of them are scattered into wide spans. Four synthesized waveforms are generated for each sentence with the speech synthesizer 200 above with four speech databases, whose sizes are 1.36 GB, 0.9 GB, 0.38 GB and 0.1 GB, respectively. Ca and C_{ai} of each waveform are calculated. All the 400 synthesized waveforms, together with some waveforms and waveforms generated from other TTS systems uttered by a professional announcer, are randomly played to 30 subjects. Each of the subjects is asked to score the naturalness of each waveform from 1-5 (1=bad, 2=poor, 3=fair, 4=good, 5=excellent). mean of the thirty scores for a given waveform represents its naturalness in MOS.

Fifty original waveforms uttered by the speaker who provides voice for the speech database are used in this example. The average MOS for these waveforms was 4.54, which provides an upper bound for MOS of synthetic voice. Providing subjects a wide range of speech quality by adding waveforms from other systems can be helpful so that the subjects make good judgements on naturalness. However, only the MOS for the 400 waveforms generated by the speech synthesizer under evaluation are used in conjunction with the corresponding average concatenative cost score.

FIG. 6 is a plot illustrating the objective measure (average concatenative cost) versus subjective measure (MOS) for the 400 waveforms. A correlation coefficient between the two dimensions is -0.822, which reveals that the average concatenative

15

20

25

30

cost function replicates, to a great extent, the perceptual behavior of human beings. The minus sign of the coefficient means that the two dimensions are negatively correlated. The larger C_a is, the smaller the corresponding MOS will be. A linear regression illustrated in FIG. and 602 is trendline least squares calculating the estimated by throughout points. The trendline or curve is denoted as the average concatenative cost-MOS curve and for the exemplary embodiment is:

Y=-1.0327x + 4.0317.

However, it should be noted that analysis of the relationship of average concatenative cost and MOS score for the representative waveforms can also be performed with other curve-fitting techniques, using, for example, higher-order polynomial functions. Likewise, other techniques of correlating average concatenative cost and MOS can be used. For instance, neural networks and decision trees can also be used.

Using the average concatenative cost MOS relationship, an estimate of MOS for a single synthesized speech waveform can be obtained by its average concatenative cost. Likewise, an estimate of the average MOS for a TTS system can be obtained from the average of the average of the concatenative costs of calculated over а large amount are fact. when In synthesized speech waveforms. calculating the average concatenative cost, it is unnecessary to generate the speech waveforms since

the costs can be calculated after the speech units have been selected.

Although the present invention has been described with reference to particular embodiments, workers skilled in the art will recognize that changes may be made in form and detail without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. In particular, although context vectors are discussed above, other representations of the context information sets may be used within the scope of the present invention.